

C Object-oriented Programming

C.1 Overview

- Motivation for the OO paradigm
- Software-design methods
- Basic terms of OO programming
- The Evolution of the object model
- Fundamental concepts of the OO paradigm
- Object-oriented Analysis and Design

C.2 References

- ABC83.** M. P. Atkinson, P. J. Bailey, K. J. Chisholm, W. P. Cockshot and R. Morrison, "An Approach to Persistent Programming", *The Computer Journal*, Vol. 26, No. 4, pp. 360-365, 1983.
- Boo94.** Grady Booch, *Object-Oriented Analysis and Design (with Applications)*, Benjamin/Cummings, Redwood (CA), 1994.
- CoY91a.** P. Coad, E. Yourdon. Object-Oriented Analysis. Prentice Hall, 1991.
- Coa91b.** P. Coad, E. Yourdon. Object-Oriented Design. Prentice Hall, 1991.
- CW85.** Luca Cardelli, Peter Wegner, "On Understanding Types, Data Abstraction, and Polymorphism", *Computing Surveys*, Vol. 17, No. 4, Dec. 1985.
- GHJ+97.** Erich Gamma, Richard Helm, Ralph Johnson, John Vlissides. *Design Patterns: Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Software*, 10th print, Addison-Wesley, 1997
- Jac92.** I. Jacobson. Object-Oriented Software Engineering — A Use Case Driven Approach. Addison-Wesley, 1992.
- MaM88.** Ole Lehrmann Madsen, Birger Møller-Pedersen, "What object-oriented programming may be — an what it does not have to be", *ECOOP '88 – European Conference on OO Programming*, pp. 1 - 20, S. Gjessing, K. Nygaard [Eds.]; Springer Verlag, Oslo, Norway, Aug. 1988.

C.2 References (2)

- Mey86.** Bertrand Meyer, "Genericity versus Inheritance", *Conference on Object-Oriented Programming Systems, Languages, and Applications - OOPSLA '87*, pp. 391 - 405, Portland (Oreg., USA), published as *SIGPLAN Notices*, Vol. 21, No. 11, Nov. 1986.
- Mey88.** Bertrand Meyer. *Object Oriented Software Construction*. Prentice Hall Inc., Hemel Hempstead, Hertfordshire, 1988.
- Oes97.** B. Oestereich. Objektorientierte Softwareentwicklung: Analyse und Design. Oldenbourg, 1997.
- Rum91.** J. Rumbaugh. Object-Oriented Modelling and Design. Prentice Hall, 1991.
- Str91.** Bjarne Stroustrup. *The C++ programming language*, 2. ed., Addison-Wesley, 1991.
- Str93.** Bjarne Stroustrup, "A History of C++", *ACM SIGPLAN Notices*, Vol. 28, No. 3, pp.271 - 297, Mar. 1993.
- Weg87.** Peter Wegner, "Dimensions of Object-Based Language Design", *OOPSLA '87 – Conference Proceedings*, pp. 1-6, San Diego (CA, USA), published as *SIGPLAN Notices*, Vol. 22, No. 12, Dec. 1987.
- Weg90.** Peter Wegner, "Concepts and Paradigms of Object-Oriented Programming", *ACM OOPS Messenger*, No. 1, pp. 8-84, Jul. 1990.

C.2 References (3)

- BRJ98.** G. Booch, J. Rumbaugh, and I. Jacobson. *The Unified Modeling Language Reference Manual*. Addison Wesley, 1998.
- RJB98.** J. Rumbaugh, I. Jacobson, and G. Booch. *The Unified Modeling Language User Guide*. Addison Wesley, 1998.
- JBR98.** I. Jacobson, G. Booch, and J. Rumbaugh. *The Unified Software Development Process*. Addison Wesley, 1998.

C.3 Motivation for the OO Paradigm

C.3 Motivation for the OO Paradigm

1 Goals

- Increasing complexity of large software
 - ◆ "industrial-strength" software [Boo94]
 - impossible for one developer to comprehend all details of its design
 - very long life span
 - many users depend on their proper functioning
 - many people responsible for maintenance and enhancement
- ➔ Software Crisis
 - ◆ Hardware increasingly capable
 - ◆ Software becomes larger and larger
 - ◆ Costs for maintenance and enhancement rise dramatically
 - ◆ Not enough good software developers to create the software users need

OODS

Object-Oriented Concepts in Distributed Systems
© Jürgen Kleinöder • Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg • Informatik 4, 2000

C-OOP.fm 2000-11-14 17.10

C.5

Reproduktion jeder Art oder Vervielfältigung dieser Unterlagen, außer zu Lehrzwecken an der Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, bedarf der Zustimmung des Autors.

1 Goals (2)

C.3 Motivation for the OO Paradigm

- Increase the productivity of programmers
 - ◆ Design patterns for repeatedly occurring problems
 - ◆ Reusage of existing software
 - ◆ Better extensibility of software by modularization and clear interfaces
 - ◆ Incremental development from small & simple to huge & complex systems
 - ◆ Better control over complexity and costs of software maintenance
- Shift from the needs of the machine to abstractions of the problem domain
 - ◆ Better understanding of the problem
 - ◆ Terminology of the problem domain is reflected in the software solution
 - better understanding of the solution

OODS

Object-Oriented Concepts in Distributed Systems
© Jürgen Kleinöder • Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg • Informatik 4, 2000

C-OOP.fm 2000-11-14 17.10

C.6

Reproduktion jeder Art oder Vervielfältigung dieser Unterlagen, außer zu Lehrzwecken an der Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, bedarf der Zustimmung des Autors.

C.4 Software-Design Methods

C.4 Software-Design Methods

1 Classification [Boo94]

- Top-down structured design (composite design)
- Object-oriented design

2 Classes of Programming Languages

... at least the most important ones

- Procedural / imperative
- Functional
- Object-oriented

OODS

Object-Oriented Concepts in Distributed Systems
© Jürgen Kleinöder • Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg • Informatik 4, 2000

C-OOP.fm 2000-11-14 17.10

C.7

Reproduktion jeder Art oder Vervielfältigung dieser Unterlagen, außer zu Lehrzwecken an der Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, bedarf der Zustimmung des Autors.

3 Top-Down Structured Design (Composite Design)

C.4 Software-Design Methods

- Units of decomposition: Subroutine
- **Algorithmic decomposition**
- Not suitable for structuring today's large and complex software systems
- Top-down structured design cannot describe:
 - data abstraction & information hiding
 - concurrency
- Problems arise when applications are very complex or when object-oriented languages have to be used
- Widely used technique
- Procedural languages ideally suited for implementations

OODS

Object-Oriented Concepts in Distributed Systems
© Jürgen Kleinöder • Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg • Informatik 4, 2000


C-OOP.fm 2000-11-14 17.10

C.8

Reproduktion jeder Art oder Vervielfältigung dieser Unterlagen, außer zu Lehrzwecken an der Universität Erlangen-Nürnberg, bedarf der Zustimmung des Autors.


3 Top-Down Structured Design (2) (Composite Design)

- Example:



4 ... Object-oriented Design (2)

- Software system is modeled as a collection of cooperating objects
- Each object is an instance of a class in a hierarchy of classes
- Example of a class hierarchy:



4 Object-oriented Design

Bertrand Meyer:[Mey88]

Computing systems perform certain actions on certain objects; to obtain flexible and reusable systems, it is better to base the structure of software on the objects than on the actions.

4 ... Object-oriented Design (3)

- Concepts reflected in the structure of modern programming languages
 - Smalltalk
 - C++
 - Eiffel
 - Java
 - Ada
- General basis: object-oriented decomposition
- Advantages:
 - + Reusage of common mechanisms
 - ➔ software becomes smaller
 - + Modifications and improvements of the software become easier
 - + Results are less complex
 - + Better understanding of the principal's ideas

1 Definition (Grady Booch)

OOP is a method of implementation in which programs are organized as

cooperative collections of objects,

each of which represents an


instance of some class,

and whose classes are all members of a hierarchy of classes united via

inheritance relationships.

OODS

2 Basic Terms



OODS


3 Objects & Methods

Software developer's view:

- ◆ an object is a "thing" from the problem domain
 - has a state
 - has behavior
 - has a unique identity

Program-technical point of view:

- an encapsulated unit of data and functions that operate on this data
- an object has a clear interface (operations = **methods**)



➔ object-based programming languages [Weg87]

OODS


4 Classes

Software developer's view:

- ◆ a class is a set of objects with common structure and common behavior

Program-technical point of view:

- ◆ a class is a template for objects
 - each object is an instance of a class
 - object creation = *instantiation*



➔ class-based programming languages = objects & classes

OODS

5 Objects and Classes in C++

- Class declaration similar to a structure declaration in C
- Access to members of an object (instance variables and methods) with the operators `.` or `->`, like the access to structure components
- Example:

```
// Class counter
class Counter
{
private:
    int value;
public:
    void incr() { value++; }
    void decr() { value--; }
    int get_value() { return value; }
};
```

7 Instantiation in C++

- Instantiation of Objects either
 - statically at compile time, or
 - dynamically during run time

★ Static Instantiation

- By object definition

- Example:

```
void main()
{
    Counter c1;           // object c1 of class Counter
    Counter *pc1;        // pointer to an object of class Counter
    ...
}
```

6 Methods in C++

- Definition within a class declaration:
 - method is handled as *inline* function
- Definition separate from the class declaration
 - assignment to class with the *scope* operator `::`
 - method invocations are handled like normal function calls
- Example:

```
class Counter {
private:
    int value;
public:
    void incr(); void decr(); int get_value();
};

void Counter::incr()      { value++; }
void Counter::decr()     { value--; }
int Counter::get_value() { return value; }
```

7 Instantiation in C++ (2)

★ Dynamic Instantiation

- C++ operators `new` and `delete`

- Example:

```
class Counter
{ ... };

void main()
{
    Counter c1;           // create object c1 statically
    Counter *pc1;        // pointer to an object of class Counter
    ...
    pc1 = new Counter;
    pc1->incr();
    c1.incr();
    ...
    delete pc1;
    ...
}
```

7 Instantiation in C++ (3)

★ Constructor

→ Method for the initialization of objects

■ method name = class name

➤ method is automatically invoked during instantiation

■ Example:

```
class Counter {
private:
    int value;
public:
    Counter(int c) { value = c; } // constructor
    void incr() { value++; }
    ...
};
...
Counter c1(20); // create c1, initialize value to 20
cp = new Counter(30);
```

8 Objects and Classes in Java

★ Essential Differences to C++

■ No static instantiation

■ Dynamic instantiation → only references (pointers) to objects

◆ access to object components through object reference and operator .

■ No need to delete objects explicitly

◆ automatic garbage collection


■ Methods are implemented always in the class declaration

◆ but no in-line mechanism

■ No pointer arithmetic

9 Inheritance

■ Relationship among classes where one class shares the structure and/or behavior defined in another class / other classes



9 Inheritance (2)


★ Terms

■ **Superclass / base class:** class from which another class inherits

■ **Subclass:** class which inherits from other class(es)

■ **Single inheritance:** subclass has exactly one superclass

■ **Multiple inheritance:** subclass has several superclasses



9 Inheritance (3)


★ Software developer's view

- Specialization / generalization of classes
- Common aspects of classes are collected in a superclass
- Hierarchy of abstractions:
 - ◆ from more general classes to specialized classes and vice versa
- Documentation of the relationship between classes

9 Inheritance (5)

★ Reimplementation

- Reimplementation of a method:
 - hides the method of the superclass



- default behavior: invocation of the subclasses' method
- invocation of the reimplemented method of the superclass?

9 Inheritance (4)


★ Program-technical point of view

- Extension of an existing class implementations
 - additional methods
 - additional data
- Code reuse:
 - no reimplementation of inherited data and methods necessary
- Reimplementation of a method is *possible*, if the method of the superclass is not appropriate for the subclass
- Methods of the superclass can be invoked at an object of the subclass
- Modifications of a superclass effect all subclasses (central maintenance)

9 Inheritance (6)

★ Multiple Inheritance

- Problems:
 - naming conflicts of variables or methods of the different superclasses
 - inheritance of the same superclass through different paths
- Application:
 - less important for code reuse
 - very important to describe type conformance (see section about typing)



10 Inheritance in C++

- Subclass inherits variables and methods of the superclass
- Subclass may modify superclass
 - additional methods and variables
 - modified methods
- Methods of the subclass may access *public* and *protected* components of the superclass
 - public superclass
 - ➔ the *interface* of the superclass is inherited
 - private superclass
 - ➔ the *interface* of the superclass is *not* inherited
 - ➔ objects of the subclass are not type-conform
- *private* data and methods of the superclass are not visible for methods of the subclass

10 Inheritance in C++ (3)

★ Example (2)

```
// Class window
class Window
{
protected:
    int x, y, width, height;
public:
    virtual void init(int x, int y, int w, int h){ initialize }
    virtual void move(int x, int y) { move window }
    virtual void display() { display window }
    virtual void delete() { remove window }
};

// Subclass bordered window
class BorderedWindow: public Window
{
public:
    virtual void display() { display bordered window }
    virtual void change_width(int x) { change width }
    virtual void change_hight(int y) { change hight }
};
```

10 Inheritance in C++ (2)

★ Example (1)

```
// Class counter
class Counter
{
protected:
    int value;
public:
    void incr() { value++; }
    void decr() { value--; }
    int get_value() { return value; }
};


// Subclass resettable counter
class RCounter : public Counter
{
private:
    int initial;
public:
    RCounter(int v)    { initial = v; value = v; }
    void reset()      { value = initial; }
};
```

11 Dynamic Binding

- Decision which method to execute at run time (dynamic)

```
Window *w = new BorderedWindow();
w->display();
```


- This is also true if an object invokes a method at itself!
 - ◆ Example:
 - `move()` finally calls `display()` to redraw the window
 - `BorderedWindow` inherits `move()` from `Window`
 - invoking `move()` at an instance of `BorderedWindow` finally calls `display()` of `BorderedWindow`



the pointer *this* always references the "whole object" and not just the part of the superclass

11 Dynamic Binding (2)

- Without dynamic binding “true inheritance” is not possible
 - self reference (pointer *this*) is not adjusted correctly



★ Static Binding

Decision which implementation of a method is taken at compile time (depending on the type of the pointer)

- In C++ only “virtual” methods are bound dynamic
 - ◆ other methods are generally bound static
- In Java all methods are bound dynamic
 - ◆ static binding can be enforced by the keyword **final** in the method declaration
 - ◆ such methods cannot be reimplemented in subclasses

```
public final void incr() { value += step; }
```

1 Generations of Programming Languages (2)

- The Generation Gap* (1970 - 1980)
 - many different languages were invented, but few endured ...
 - ◆ C
 - ◆ Modula
 - ◆ Ada
- Object-oriented programming languages (1980 - today)
 - ◆ Smalltalk (successor to Simula)
 - ◆ C++ (evolved from C and Simula)
 - ◆ Eiffel (evolved from Simula and Ada)
 - ◆ Java

C.6 The Evolution of the Object Model

1 Generations of Programming Languages

- First generation (1954 - 1958)
 - ◆ Mathematical Expressions (FORTRAN I, ALGOL 58)
- Second generation (1959 - 1961)
 - ◆ Subroutines, separate compilation (FORTRAN II)
 - ◆ Block structure, data types (ALGOL 60)
 - ◆ Data description, file handling (COBOL)
 - ◆ List processing, pointers, garbage collection (Lisp)
- Third generation (1962 - 1970)
 - ◆ various successors to ALGOL 60 (ALOGOL 68, Pascal)
 - ◆ Classes, data abstraction (Simula)

C.7 Fundamental Concepts of the OO Paradigm

- Abstraction
 - ◆ Encapsulation
 - ◆ Abstract data type
- Modularization
- Hierarchy
- Typing
 - ◆ Hierarchy of types
 - ◆ Polymorphism
 - ◆ Genericity
- Concurrency
- Persistence

1 Abstraction

Fundamental concept for solving complex problems

- Emphasize details which are relevant for the entire solution
- Suppress details which are (for the moment) immaterial or diversionary
- Object orientation
 - important:
 - Signature of an object
 - Semantics of an object
 - ➔ **contract model**: Outside view = contract with other objects
- unimportant:
 - Implementation of an object
- Describe the abstraction first and think about the implementation later

} Outside view

2 Encapsulation

= **Information Hiding**

Concealing of the implementation of an abstraction from the users of the abstraction

- Complement to abstraction
 - Abstraction exposes the external properties of an object
 - Encapsulation hides the internals
- Fundamental for abstraction
 - B. Liskov *For abstraction to work, implementations must be encapsulated*
- Encapsulation & object orientation
 - ◆ Representation of the object state
 - ◆ Implementation of the methods
- Abstract data type

3 Abstract Data Type

- ? How can we provide a complete, precise & unambiguous description of an abstraction?
 - ADT: Model to describe
 - Properties of data structures (Semantics!)
 - Operations of the data + semantic effects
 - **NOT**: Implementation of the data structures
 - Specification → Description of all essential properties
 - Overspecification: Details about the representation/implementation
- ADT & object orientation
 - ◆ Class = Implementation of an ADT
 - ◆ Data abstraction: Object state is accessible only through methods

3 Abstract Data Type (2)

- Example: Specification of an ADT "Stack"

```

TYPES
  STACK[X]

FUNCTIONS
  empty: STACK[X] → BOOLEAN
  new: → STACK[X]
  push: X × STACK[X] → STACK[X]
  pop: STACK[X] → STACK[X]
  top: STACK[X] → X


PRECONDITIONS
  pre pop(s: STACK[X]) = (not empty(s))
  pre top(s: STACK[X]) = (not empty(s))

AXIOMS
  ∀x:X, s:STACK[X]:
  empty(new())
  not empty(push(x,s))
  top(push(x,s)) = x
  pop(push(x,s)) = s
  
```

3 Abstract Data Type (3)

■ Data abstraction in OOP

→ the object state is accessible only through the methods of the object



object as implementation of an ADT

object without data abstraction

■ Data abstraction in C++ and Java

◆ Scope rules (private / protected)

5 Hierarchy

- ◆ Abstraction & encapsulation help to hide details of components
- ◆ Modularity helps to cluster related abstractions

■ Comprehension of large problems still difficult

- too many abstractions
- additional means to organize abstractions

■ Abstractions often form hierarchies

- ▶ common properties → more general abstractions
- ▶ differences → specialization
- **Hierarchy: Ordering of abstractions**

■ Hierarchy & object orientation

- ▶ Class structure: Inheritance → "is a" hierarchy
- ▶ Object structure: Aggregation → "part of" hierarchy

4 Modularity

Partitioning a program into individual components can reduce its complexity

- ◆ Problem partitions are easier to comprehend
- ◆ Different problem partitions may be assigned to different developer teams
- ◆ Module = separate unit in development

■ most important: partitioning creates boundaries = interfaces

- well-defined
 - documented
- } invaluable for the comprehension of the whole problem

■ Many programming languages make a difference between interface and implementation of a module

■ Structured Design: Grouping of subprograms

■ OOD: Grouping of classes and objects (from the design's logical structure)

6 Typing

■ Concept derives primarily from the theory of ADTs

Booch: *Typing is the enforcement of the class of an object, such that objects of different types may not be interchanged, or at most, they may be interchanged only in very restricted ways*

■ Typing enables a programming language to check and enforce design decisions

- essential for programming-in-the-large [Weg87]

■ Strong typing:

Conformance of all types in an expression is guaranteed

- Static typing:
 - conformance checked completely at compilation time
 - ▶ less flexibility
 - cannot detect compatible types
 - cannot support dynamic binding


- More flexibility with polymorphism and genericity

7 Type Hierarchy

- Often 1:1 relationship between classes and types — but not necessary
 - different classes may implement the same type
 - one class may implement different types
- Hierarchy of classes: superclass ← subclass
 - ◆ Objective: code inheritance
 - ◆ Subclass not necessarily conforming to the type of the superclass
- Hierarchy of types: supertype ← subtype
 - ◆ Objectives:
 - behavior inheritance
 - declaration of conformable types (→ polymorphism)
- Type inheritance (subtyping) as means for deriving types
 - Relationship between types becomes comprehensible
 - allows the identification of conformable types

8 Polymorphism

- Polymorphism = *the ability to take several forms*
 - various types for values or variables
 - various types for the parameters of functions
 - various types for the operands of an operator
- Example:
operator "+" works with operands of type `int` and `real`
- Classification



9 Polymorphism in C++

- Overloading polymorphism
 - Function-name overloading
 - Operator overloading
- Inclusion polymorphism
 - public inheritance
- Coercion polymorphism
 - Cast operator

9 Polymorphism in C++ (2)

- ★ **Function-name Overloading**
- several functions with the same name but different signature within one scope
- compiler selects the proper function
 - static binding (*early binding*)
- Example:


```

int max (int a, int b) { return((a>b):a?b); }
float max (float a, float b) { return((a>b):a?b); }
char *max (char *a, char *b) {
    if ((strcmp(a,b)> 0) return a; else return b; }
      
```
- Special cases:
 - several constructors for a class
 - operator overloading
 - overloading of a method in the context of inheritance

9 Polymorphism in C++ (3)

★ Cast Operator / Coercion Polymorphism

- In most programming languages automatic type conversion for basic types (e.g. short → int → double ← float)
- Cast operator (cast method) allows the definition of an explicit conversion of the class type into another type

```
class::operator type(void) { ... }
```

- Cast operator is like a normal unary operator

9 Polymorphism in C++ (5)

★ Inclusion Polymorphism — *THE Polymorphism in OOP*

Inheritance + Virtual Methods + Object References

- Object reference (pointer) has a type (= class)
 - ◆ instances of this class and all of its subclasses may be assigned to the ref.
 - ◆ on method invocation, the actual implementation of the method is designated not by the class of the pointer but by the class of the current object
- ➔ You may always assign any type-conformable object to an object reference and everything will work
 - ◆ you may pass it as parameter to a method
 - ◆ the programmer of the method did not need to know anything about your new subtype
 - as long as it conforms to the supertype his method expects

9 Polymorphism in C++ (4)

★ Cast operator — Example

```
class time
{
private:
int hour, min, sec;
public:
... // Konstruktor

operator long () { // cast-Operator
return(hour*3600 + min*60 + sec);
}
};
main() {
time now(1,10,2);
long t;

t = now + 10; // => t== 4212
...
}
```

9 Polymorphism in C++ (6)

★ Virtual Methods & Inclusion Polymorphism — Example:

```
class geo_obj { // general superclass
public:
virtual void draw();
};

class circle : public geo_obj { // subclass
public:
void draw();
};

class square : public geo_obj { // subclass
public:
void draw();
};

main () {
geo_obj *ptr;
ptr = new circle;
ptr->draw();
...
}
```

10 Types & C++ Abstract Classes

- Superclass declares methods and its signatures but does not define them
 - pure virtual functions
 - superclass defines only a type
- Subclasses define various implementations of the methods
 - each subclass is one implementation of the type
- Not possible to instantiate objects from the superclass
- Example:

```
class geo_obj {                // abstract class
public:
    virtual void draw() = 0;    // pure virtual function
};
class circle : public geo_obj { // subclass
public:
    void draw() { ... }
}
```

12 Types & Java Interfaces

- 2 possibilities to declare a type
 - ◆ by a class definition
 - class inheritance automatically leads to type inheritance
 - ◆ by an interface declaration
 - separate type declaration
- Example:

```
public interface Printable {
    public void Print();
}

public class MyPoint extends Object implements Printable {
    ....
    public void Print() {
        System.out.println("x="+x+" y="+y);
    }
}
```

11 Types & Java Abstract Classes

- As in C++
 - instantiation not possible
 - missing parts have to be completed in the subclass
- Example:

```
abstract class geo_obj {      // abstract class
public:
    public abstract void draw(); // pure virtual function
};
class circle extends geo_obj { // subclass
public:
    void draw() { ... }
}
```

12 Types & Java Interfaces (2)

- Inheritance + multiple inheritance for interfaces
- One class may implement several types
- Type conformance is transitive
- Exceptions are an element of the type interface
- Examples:

```
interface Streamable extends FileIO, Printable {
    // additional Methods
    public void test() throws TestException;
}

class Test implements Streamable, Testinterface {
    ...
}
```

13 Genericity

Concept that allows the definition of the type of some unit of the programming language at invocation or instantiation by a parameter

- OOP: generic classes →
 - generic class → instantiation (+ parameterization) → actual class
 - actual class → instantiation of objects
- Example:
 - ◆ general stack class
 - int stack
 - real stack
 - string stack
- Most benefit of genericity can also be achieved by inheritance
- Implemented in Ada, Eiffel and C++ (*Templates*)

14 Genericity & C++: Templates

- Parameterization of class on object instantiation
- Examples:
 - ◆ Instantiation and usage of a stack with elements of type float:

```
stack <float> s(10);
float a;
s.push(a);
a = s.pop( );
```

- ◆ Instantiation and usage of a stack with elements of type integer:

```
stack <int> s(10);
...
```

14 Genericity & C++: Templates

- Objective: definition of a class without finalization of types
 - dynamic type checking at run time or
 - static type checking at compile time + parameterizable classes
- Template = parameterizable class
- Example:

```
template <class T> class stack {
private:
    int index;
    T *array;
public:
    void stack(int n)
        { index = 0; array = new T[n]; }
    void push(T elem)
        { array[index++] = elem; }
    T pop(void)
        { return(array[index--]); }
};
```

15 Concurrency

Several threads of control are processed in parallel on different processors or quasi-parallel on one single processor

- Concurrency is orthogonal to object orientation (in general)
 - but: concurrent solutions are much more complex than sequential ones
- Granularity: concurrency / objects (capsules)
 - Finer grained concurrency
 - even object-internal concurrency
 - Coarser grained concurrency
 - just object-external concurrency
- Integration of concurrency control into OO programming languages
 - orthogonal languages
 - non-orthogonal languages
 - uniform / non-uniform languages

16 Concurrency & Java

- Thread concept and synchronization mechanisms are integrated
 - ↳ non-orthogonal, non-uniform language
- Creation of threads via thread class
- Example:

```
class MyClass implements Runnable {
    public void run() {
        System.out.println("Hello\n");
    }
}

....
MyClass o1 = new MyClass(); // create object
Thread t1 = new Thread(o1); // create thread to run in o1

t1.start(); // start thread

Thread t2 = new Thread(o1); // create second thread to run in o1

t2.start(); // start second thread
```

17 Persistence

- ★ **Motivation for Persistence** [ABC83]
 - “active” data → programming language facilities / run-time environment
 - “passive” data → DBMS or file system
- ➔ 2 different views of data
- ➔ Disadvantages for the programmer
 - Conversion between active and passive data necessary
 - Data type protection of programming language is lost

16 Concurrency & Java (2)

- ★ **Synchronization**
 - Monitors: exclusive execution of methods of an object
 - ◆ Example:

```
class Bankkonto {
    int value;
    public synchronized void AddAmount(int v) {
        value=value+v;
    }
    public synchronized void RemoveAmount(int v) {
        value=value-v;
    }
}

...
Bankkonto b=....
b.AddAmount(100);
```

- Conditions: releasing a monitor while waiting on an event

17 Persistence (2)

- ★ **General Definition**
 - Persistence is the property of data through which its existence transcends time (i. e. it continues to exist after its creator ceases to exist) and/or space (i. e. its location moves from the address space in which it was created).
- ★ **Spectrum of Persistence**
 1. Transient results in expression evaluation
 2. Local variables in procedure activations
 3. Global variables and heap items whose extent is different from their scope
 4. Data that exists between executions of a program
 5. Data that exists between various versions of a program
 6. Data that outlives the program

17 Persistence (3)

★ Persistence in Object-oriented Systems

- Objects survive the termination of the environment (thread, application execution) in which they were instantiated or used
- Powerful mechanism in OO operating systems for
 - Data storage
 - Data transport
- Examples
 - File systems
 - Database systems
 - Persistent communication objects
- Properties of the object-oriented programming model are automatically inherited by all mechanisms which are constructed on its basis

1 Object-oriented Software Engineering

- 1980 - 1990: OO programming languages establish
 - Smalltalk
 - C++
 - Eiffel
 - LOOPS, Flavors
- from 1990: Propagation of object-oriented software engineering methods
 - Sally Shlaer & Steve Mellor
 - Peter Coad & Ed Yourdon
 - Grady Booch
 - Jim Rumbaugh et al. (OMT: Object Modeling Technique)
 - Ivar Jacobson (OOSE, Objectory)
- 1995: Booch, Rumbaugh, and Jacobsen start development of UML

C.8 Object-oriented Software Development

- Object-oriented software engineering
- Software development phases
 - Requirement analysis
 - Object-oriented analysis
 - Object-oriented design
 - Implementation
 - Test
- UML: The Unified Modeling Language — a short sketch
 - Use case diagram
 - Class diagram
 - Interaction diagrams

2 Why Object-Oriented Software Engineering?


- Optimal usage of the object-oriented paradigm
- Transition to OO programming languages is easy
- **Paradigm Shift** ist the problem
 - advantages of object orientation depend on the way a problem is tackled
 - otherwise danger of wrong usage of oo concepts
 - ➔ C++
- Object-oriented software engineering methods give guidance to a correct deployment of object-oriented concepts
 - proper determination of classes
 - proper usage of inheritance
 - ...

2 Why Object-Oriented Software Engineering? (2)

- ▲ Software often too complex to be comprehended altogether
- ➔ Building models to abstract from details of the system
 - different viewpoints of the system — e. g.
 - specification view
 - design view
 - different levels of abstraction
 - abstractions for parts of the system
 - different aspects of the system
 - requirements
 - static aspects — structure
 - dynamic aspects — behavior

3 Software-Development Phases

- Traditional: Waterfall approach



- Problem: Maintenance =


- new Requirement spezifikation
 - ➔ Analysis
 - ➔ Design
 - ➔ new Implementation
 - ➔ ...

2 Why Object-Oriented Software Engineering? (3)

- ▲ Control of the development process
- Uncontrolled development leads to
 - insufficient analyses of requirements
 - ➔ development does not meet the principal's requirements
 - early start with implementation
 - ➔ analyses and design not sufficient
 - ➔ errors in analyses or design are detected late
 - ➔ high costs due to subsequent changes
- ▲ Software engineering methods give a guideline to
 - handle today's software complexity
 - develop software economically
 - make software development a controlled, controllable, and calculable process

3 Software-Development Phases (2)


- Better: Spiral models



3 Software-Development Phases (3)

- Further improved: Iterative approach

- ▲ Four lifecycle phases



- ▶ Inception: define scope of the system, develop business case
- ▶ Elaboration: plan project, specify features, baseline the architecture
- ▶ Construction: build the product
- ▶ Transition: transition the product to its users

C.9 Object-oriented Analysis

- ▲ *What shall my system do?*

- Basis: Analysis of the “real world”

- ▶ Components, terms, tasks
- ▶ Requirements and constraints

- Abstraction from unimportant aspects & implementation details


- Abstraction from implementational details

- unimportant for the moment: how do I implement things?
- + to be considered: aspects of the implementation environment

and runtime platform
which means do I have?

3 Software-Development Phases (4)

- Iterations and Workflows



C.9 Object-oriented Analysis (2)

1 The Process

- Analyze requirements
- Describe use cases
- Determine terms of the problem domain
- Find objects
- Organize objects
- Determine first internal structures of the objects
- Describe interactions of objects
- Determine operations of objects

Requirements Model


Analysis Model

2 OOA — Requirement Analysis

- Focus on problem domain
- Determine goals and tasks
 - Basis for alternative solutions
 - Basis for reviews and evaluations
- Customers view
- Performance-, user- and architecture-related requirements
- Informal description

3 OOA — Example Requirement Analysis

▲ Automatic letter sorter




- Problem domain: Letter (ZIP code) recognition
- Task: recognize ZIP on a letter and tell sorter control system number of output tray
- Requirements:
 - recognition may last max. 1 minute
 - separate OCR hosts for character recognition
 - statistics about ZIP frequency and errors

4 OOA — Use Cases

- Describe interactions between "users" and system
- Parties:
 - Actor: Person or another component of the system
! playing a specific role
 - Use case: "Dialog" between actor and system for a specific purpose
- Substantiation of requirement analysis
- First step of modularization of the problem

4 OOA — Use Cases (2)

- Letter sorter
 - sorter control requests number of output tray
 - user retrieves statistics
- UML Diagram



5 OOA — Finding Objects

■ Identify terms of the problem domain

- look for nouns in the terminology of the problem domain

EXAMPLE

- Sorter Control
- OCR
- Letter
- Camera

- Strategy:
 - principal sketches his view
 - system analyst records the terms

■ Goal: Establish a basis for the following work

- NOT to define the entire system

6 OOA — Organizing Objects (2)

Boundary Objects

- Represent actors of the use cases
- Starting point for activities in the system
- Interfaces of the system to the "outside world"

EXAMPLE

- Sorter Control
- Operator Panel
- OCR System

Entity Objects

- Represent the actual system state
 - are rather long-lived
 - often persist the execution of a use case

EXAMPLE

- Statistics
- Letter
- Picture

6 OOA — Organizing Objects

- ➔ Structuring the model ➔ Analysis Model

■ different categories of objects

- ➔ Boundary objects
- ➔ Entity objects
- ➔ Control objects

6 OOA — Organizing Objects (3)

Control objects


- Problem: task / activity cannot be assigned to one of the objects
 - ◆ main focus is on the procedure
 - ◆ such procedures often result directly from uses
 - define something which is responsible for the procedure
 - ➔ create an object for it

EXAMPLE

- Task: perform ZIP recognition
 - take picture
 - look for address area
 - give job to OCR host
 - tray number to sorter control
 - ➔ Object *LetterController*

6 OOA — Organizing Objects (4) UML Notation: Class Diagrams


- Class with its attributes (variables) and operations (methods)
- Relations between classes
- Example:



OODS

6 OOA — Organizing Objects (6)


- Letter Sorter — LetterRecognition: UML Diagram



OODS

6 OOA — Organizing Objects (5)

- Letter Sorter — System overview: UML Diagram



OODS

6 OOA — Organizing Objects (7)

- Identify the state of the objects
 - Attributes (become instancevariables)
 - Types
- Identify behavior
 - Operations / methods
 - Interaction between objects
- Look for similarities / common things
 - Basis for inheritance hirarchy
- Look for dependencies between objects
 - Aggregation
 - Composition

EXAMPLE

- Letter:
 - Letter ID
 - ZIP Code
 - Output Tray


EXAMPLE

- Letter "has" a:
 - Picture
 - Statistics object

OODS


6 OOA — Organizing Objects (8)

■ Letter Sorter — Statistics: UML Diagram with inheritance relations



7 OOA — Describe Interactions (2)

■ Letter Sorter — UML Collaboration Diagram



7 OOA — Describe Interactions

■ Execution of use cases



Determine Operations

■ Essential methods of the objects

EXAMPLE ➤ Processing a letter
➤ Retrieving statistics

EXAMPLE ➤ Sorter Control:
– Throw letter into tray

8 OOA — Refine Structure

■ Structure use cases

➤ Identify common sequences

■ Document objects in more detail

➤ Attributes

➤ Operations

➤ Describe roles and responsibilities

Where ends analysis and where begins design?

- Analysis often extends over 50% of a cycle!
- Design starts after 20 - 30%
- Transition is very fluent
 - when implementaional aspects cannot be detained any longer

- Class design
 - Find software classes for the classes of the analysis model
 - Partition analysis classes
 - Remove unnecessary analysis classes
 - Add new classes (e. g. lists or hash tables for management purposes)
 - ! Keep object boundaries
 - Analyses → Design → Implementation (Traceability)
- System design
 - Non problem-related aspects (distribution, concurrency, resource requirements, system interfaces, ...)
- Program design
 - Programming language
 - Error handling (Exceptions, return-values)
 - Performance aspects

C.10 Object-oriented Design

- Transformation of the analysis model to an implementable model
- Add aspects of the implementation environment
- Make structural and strategic decisions
 - Where do we need separate threads
 - Distribution of the application to several hosts
 - Which sort of inter-process communication
 - Database interfaces
 - Error handling
 - Garbage collection
- Further refinement of object interaction and interfaces

C.11 OOA / OOD - Conclusion

- OOA
WHAT shall my system do — not HOW
 - Requirement analysis
 - Finding and structuring objects
 - Analyse interactions
- OOD
HOW shall my system work
 - Integrate aspects of the execution environment
 - make strategic decisions
 - refine object model to an implementable model